

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
3 SOUTHERN DIVISION

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 Plaintiff,

6 -v-

Case No. 17-cr-20183

7 DERRICK DERNARD BELL, JANETTE
8 GAGGO TAWFIK, SHELVIE LEWIS
9 AVERY, TERRY PRUITT, HAROLD
10 LASHAWN NERO, MICHAEL ANTHONY
11 RANDOL, CHARLES THOMAS FORD, JR.,
12 KEMAL GABRAIL and JACK HANA YAKO,

13 Defendants.

14 /

15 STATUS CONFERENCE

16 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARK A. GOLDSMITH

17 Detroit, Michigan, Wednesday, May 23rd, 2018.

18

APPEARANCES:

19

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

JEROME F. GORGON
WILLIAM M. SLOAN
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
211 West Fort Street
Suite 2001
Detroit, MI 48226

20

21

FOR JANETTE GAGGO:

MICHAEL S. FRIEDMAN
2833 Crooks Road
Suite 104
Troy, MI 48084

22

23

24

25

1 (Appearances, continued) :

2 FOR SHELVIE AVERY: RICHARD H. MORGAN, JR.
3 485 Orchard Lake Road
4 Suite 203
Pontiac, MI 48341

5 FOR HAROLD NERO: MARK H. MAGIDSON
6 615 Griswold Street
7 Suite 810
Detroit, MI 48226

8 FOR TERRY PRUITT: S. ALLEN EARLY, III
9 607 Shelby Street
Suite 425
10 Detroit, MI 48226

11 FOR KEMAL GABRAIL: DAVID M. BURGESS
12 1360 Porter
Suite 260
13 Dearborn, MI 48124

14 FOR MICHAEL RANDOL: NICHOLAS J. VENDITTELLI
15 6053 Chase Road
Dearborn, MI 48126

16
17 FOR JACK HANA YAKO: DORAID B. ELDER
18 1360 Porter Street
Suite 200
19 Dearborn, MI 48124

20
21 David B. Yarbrough, CSR, FCRR
Official Court Reporter
22 (313) 234-2619

23

24

25

	<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>	
		PAGE
1		
2		
3	<u>WITNESSES:</u>	
4	NONE	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		<u>EXHIBITS</u>
16		(Identified) (Admitted)
17	NONE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 Detroit, Michigan.

2 | Wednesday, May 23rd, 2018.

At or about 2:53 p.m.

4 | Page

5 THE CLERK OF THE COURT: Please rise. The United
6 States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan is
7 now in session, the Honorable Mark Goldsmith presiding. You
8 may be seated.

9 The Court calls case number 17-20183, the United
10 States of America versus Janette Gaggo Tawfik, Shelvie Lewis
11 Avery, Terry Pruitt, Harold Lashawn Nero, Michael Anthony
12 Randol, Charles Thomas Ford, Junior, Jack Hanna Yako and Kemal
13 Gabrail. Counsel, please place your appearance on the record.

14 MR. GORGON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jerome
15 Gorgon for the United States.

16 MR. SLOAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. William
17 Sloan on behalf of the United States.

18 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, good afternoon. Michael
19 Friedman on behalf of Janette Gaggo -- oh.

20 MR. MAGIDSON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Mark
21 Magidson on behalf of Mr. Harold Nero who's present in court

22 MR. VENDITELLI: Good afternoon, your Honor.
23 Nicholas Venditelli on behalf of Mr. Randolph

24 MB. EARLY: Good afternoon, your Honor.

25 Early on behalf of Terry Pruitt who's present today, your

1 Honor.

2 MR. FRIEDMAN: Michael Friedman on behalf Janette
3 Gaggo.

4 MR. ELDER: Doraid Elder on behalf of Mr. Yako who's
5 seated to my left along with the interpreter, your Honor.

6 MR. BURGESS: Good afternoon, your Honor. May it
7 please this Honorable Court, David Burgess on behalf of Kemal
8 Gabrail.

9 THE COURT: All right, good afternoon.

10 MR. MORGAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Richard
11 Morgan appearing for Shelby Avery.

12 THE COURT: All right. Now missing is Marlon Evans;
13 is that right?

14 MR. GORGON: Correct.

15 THE COURT: Is he the only attorney that's missing?

16 MR. GORGON: Yes, your Honor. Where is Mr. Ford?

17 DEFENDANT FORD: Right here.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Ford, we were informed shortly after
19 2:00 that Mr. Evans stated to us that he was in a courtroom in
20 another courthouse in Macomb County and that he wouldn't be
21 here. We tried to see if the federal defender's office had
22 someone available to represent you at this hearing. They did
23 not. So I decided to have you brought up with your
24 co-defendants even though we don't have an attorney here for
25 you, so I'm going to be addressing this matter with Mr. Evans

1 at a later time and I want you to understand all of your rights
2 are going to be preserved so nothing here is going to impact
3 you until you've had a chance to have your attorney address
4 these issues with me. Do you understand that, sir?

5 DEFENDANT FORD: Yes.

6 THE COURT: All right.

7 MR. MAGIDSON: Judge, I could stand in for Mr. Evans
8 if that needs to be --

9 THE COURT: Got to keep your voice up.

10 MR. MAGIDSON: Judge, this is Mark Magidson. I could
11 stand in for Mr. Evans with Mr. Ford's consent if that would
12 facilitate things.

13 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Ford, would that be okay with
14 you?

15 DEFENDANT FORD: No.

16 MR. MAGIDSON: Okay.

17 THE COURT: It's not okay, so as I said, we'll
18 proceed as I've just announced. Now we have a defendant here
19 who has an interpreter so we'll ask her to identify herself.
20 We're going to swear her in.

21 INTERPRETER: Rania Hijazeen, your Honor.

22 THE CLERK OF THE COURT: Please raise your right
23 hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm under penalty of perjury
24 that you will accurately translate to the defendant all
25 proceedings including statements and questions of Court and

1 counsel and that you will accurately translate the defendant's
2 responses to any questions posed to him?

3 INTERPRETER: Yes, I do.

4 THE COURT: All right. How do you want to proceed
5 this afternoon?

6 MR. GORGON: Your Honor, what I thought might be most
7 useful for the Court is to give you a brief chronological
8 overview of where we are, where we're headed and then I'll give
9 you more detail about each step if that's okay with the Court.

10 THE COURT: Go ahead.

11 MR. GORGON: Would you like me to speak from here or
12 from the lectern?

13 THE COURT: Where ever is more comfortable for you.
14 As long as you can be heard, you can stay there. If you want
15 to move to the lectern, that's fine.

16 MR. GORGON: Sure, thank you. Our last talk with the
17 Court, your Honor, was March 20th, 2018. There are a series of
18 discovery issues that we dealt with and we've made some, I
19 think good progress. On April 24th after some discussion with
20 defense counsel, we were able to file the joint statement
21 regarding discovery. On May 4th, 2018 the government provided
22 in response to a request a diagram of the hotel with camera
23 positions in e-mail to defense counsel and I believe I CC'd the
24 Court. On May 14th, the government provided the second list on
25 time with the order to defense counsel and that would put our

1 next production, hopefully our last production, but our next
2 production August 14th, 2018. So that's the timeline of what's
3 happened.

4 Within the joint statement that was filed, there are
5 a few areas of disagreement that we can address, but there were
6 two issues that the government would like some direction from
7 the Court on. Issue number one was a request by defense
8 counsel to get a series of photos of defendants from the U.S.
9 marshals. I made the request of the marshals. They want a
10 protective order. The government is happy to draft that.
11 Defense counsel is fine with stipulating to that. I just need
12 the Court's okay and I'll get a protective order drafted for
13 the Court's approval that we can provide to the marshals.

14 THE COURT: Well, have you worked out the language
15 with your fellow counsel?

16 MR. GORGON: No, but I don't expect this to be
17 contentious. I think it'll be very simple. I can get it done
18 within a day, so that's number one as long as the Court's okay
19 with it?

20 THE COURT: That's fine with me.

21 MR. GORGON: Okay, and number two, there is some
22 disagreement over the nature of the information and the form of
23 the information that the government's going to be providing to
24 defense counsel so I CC'd the Court on the productions which
25 show these lists of potentially inculpatory events, brief

1 description, date and time. In addition to that, there's been
2 a defense request that we also provide them with an index or
3 table of contents and as we set out in our response, that's
4 the -- I think that's the only area of contention that has to
5 be resolved and I can tell you why.

6 THE COURT: You're saying the table of contents slash
7 index is the only issue?

8 MR. GORGON: Um-hmm, as far as the government can see
9 from a reading of that order and from our negotiating.

10 THE COURT: Go ahead.

11 MR. GORGON: I think that's the only outstanding
12 issue.

13 MR. FRIEDMAN: If I may, your Honor, Michael
14 Friedman --

15 THE COURT: Well, hold on. Let's let the government
16 finish. Go ahead

17 MR. FRIEDMAN: Very well.

18 MR. GORGON: And then on the May 4th disclosure, just
19 giving you a little more detail, there was a request
20 specifically by Mr. Morgan, but on behalf of all defense
21 counsel to provide a diagram of the Victory Inn floors showing
22 the location of cameras and in which direction they're pointing
23 to aid defense counsel in their review, so we've provided that
24 on that date. There are a few cameras that are not accounted
25 for at this point because the data for those cameras has not

1 been reviewed in a way that could place the cameras on a
2 diagram. If we get that, then I'll provide an updated diagram.

3 THE COURT: So how many cameras are not accounted
4 for?

5 MR. GORGON: Cameras four, 11 and 12. And then the
6 last thing that I would give you a little more detail on, your
7 Honor, is the second production list which we provided on May
8 14th and I CC'd the Court on the letter has an additional 1,144
9 potentially inculpatory events so that, combined with the first
10 production list, the 567, puts us at 17,011 potentially
11 inculpative events that we've identified.

12 THE COURT: And how much time does that consume
13 roughly?

14 MR. GORGON: I don't know the amount of time because
15 the way the list is provided is it just shows an approximate
16 time for the event. It could be a few minutes before or after,
17 but, you know, we could say let's say it's two or three minutes
18 around a given event. We're talking 34, 3,600 minutes. I
19 think that's six, is that 600 hours or 60 hours?

20 THE COURT: 60.

21 MR. GORGON: 60 hours, so that's a quick calculation
22 in my head.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. GORGON: And then the last -- I won't say the
25 last, that's optimistic, but the next production would be due

1 August 14th, 2018, but I would hope that after defense counsel
2 has had an opportunity to review some of these events, there
3 might be some movement on resolving certain defendants' cases
4 and if that's the case, your Honor, we may be able to start
5 narrowing the range of defendants down and any subsequent video
6 production would then be more narrow and limited. So that's
7 where we're at. Did your Honor have any questions for me on
8 any of this?

9 THE COURT: Well, I do. Are you envisioning playing
10 something like 60 hours or so of these --

11 MR. GORGON: Never.

12 THE COURT: -- video clips to a jury?

13 MR. GORGON: Never.

14 THE COURT: Okay. So at what point do you really
15 think you're going to narrow down the universe of what's going
16 to be presented at trial?

17 MR. GORGON: I think after two things happen. Once
18 we get the broader universe so we have the cameras themselves
19 viewed down and I know there are X number, then we can whittle
20 it down. That point in conjunction with when we know which
21 defendants, maybe all will go to trial, but if we know two or
22 three defendants are going to go to trial, I'll know I need
23 evidence focused on those defendants. So when those two events
24 happen, I think we'll be able to get it down significantly. If
25 I play more than a couple hundred, I think it would be a

1 mistake on my part.

2 THE COURT: Couple hundred minutes or events?

3 MR. GORGON: Events, at the high, high range.

4 MR. MORGAN: That's a couple -- that's 200 hours.

5 MR. GORGON: Events would be two to three minutes
6 hopefully, but this is hard for me to say at this point. I'm
7 just trying to give the Court an idea.

8 THE COURT: All right. I wasn't clear on that first
9 event that would help give you clarity, something about
10 identifying cameras, so why don't you flush that out somewhat.

11 MR. GORGON: Sure. So up to this point we have given
12 two video lists. Each one has contained two cameras, so there
13 are another, let's say four or five cameras to be reviewed and
14 once those cameras are reviewed, I'll be able to have a number,
15 like for example right now I know from the first two cameras,
16 there were 567 events. The next two cameras, 1,144. When
17 we've had the remaining cameras reviewed, let's say there's
18 another 1,500 events, then I'll know the total universe is
19 3,200 events and then I can cut it down from there.

20 Then I'll be able to further cut it down if some
21 number of defendants and the government reach resolution, so I
22 think both those events are going to be very significant and
23 will help us substantially narrow down the universe of clips
24 that we're going to play, and this is all to say I would expect
25 in the next several months, next six months to have a more

1 narrow case for the Court.

2 THE COURT: Now the report that was submitted, the
3 joint statement regarding discovery, made an estimate or a
4 guesstimate perhaps is a better word that the case would be
5 ready for trial in the fall of 2019 and that was based on a
6 predicate that the first set of video clips amounted to
7 approximately 200 hours. Now based on what you're saying now,
8 would you be saying the fall of 2019 is the earliest the case
9 could be brought to trial or does that change that prediction
10 do you think?

11 MR. GORGON: That was a prediction provided by the
12 defense counsel and given the fact that once we review X number
13 of videos and provide them to defense counsel, we've already
14 done that work, but they then have the job of going and at
15 least replicating some portion of that review so they're are
16 always some period of time behind the government so when they
17 say September, 2019, I think that's reasonable.

18 THE COURT: And I understand that's what the
19 government said in the joint statement. I'm asking the
20 question regarding the basis for that prediction. Is what
21 you're telling me now then what you're laying out for the
22 second round of production is not going to affect that
23 estimate?

24 MR. GORGON: I don't think so because there are two
25 dynamics at play. One is we're creating a greater volume of

1 inculpative events for them to review, but I think at the same
2 time that provides defendants with more information that may
3 allow them to make intelligent and informed decisions about
4 pleas, so I think there's a counter-pressure which will help
5 narrow down the number of defendants who come to trial.

6 THE COURT: All right. Do you want to elaborate on
7 the government's objection to offering an index or a table of
8 contents unless the defendants agree to certain conditions?

9 MR. GORGON: We laid out our reasons in writing and I
10 know the Court's read that. I think number one, viewing the
11 list and I have copies if the Court doesn't have it, it's
12 really not a necessary or a helpful step. We're not talking
13 about hundreds of pages. I think it's quite doable and
14 reasonable for a person to review that 10, 12 or 15 pages and
15 see what event is of interest to them.

16 The second thing is, one, I don't know what this
17 index would contain, what terms it would contain, what a table
18 of contents would be attempting to achieve. I'm not even sure
19 what the parameters would be, but we wouldn't -- one, the order
20 doesn't call for it and two, I don't think it's reasonable to
21 expect us to make these kind of work product decisions for
22 defense counsel to either display what our thinking and summary
23 of these events is or to open up whoever's going to be working
24 on that process to cross-examination based on it, so those are
25 the sort of three big reasons, your Honor.

1 I think we've given a very good faith and thorough
2 effort at producing these lists. Two, going beyond that gets
3 into the area of work product and three, it opens up whatever
4 government witness is doing that to this potential
5 cross-examination.

6 THE COURT: All right. There's mention made in the
7 joint statement about the pace of review being impacted by how
8 many copies of the materials are actually available. I guess
9 for some defense teams there's only one copy has to be shared
10 between attorneys and paralegals. Is there any way to make
11 more copies of the materials to accelerate the review process?

12 MR. GORGON: I don't think Mr. Antone is here. He
13 would be someone that is important to talk to about that
14 process. My belief is that Mr. Antone would have the capacity
15 to create additional copies.

16 MR. MORGAN: Not so. No, he cannot.

17 THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Morgan, I think you're
18 trying to talk; is that right?

19 MR. MORGAN: Yeah, that's not -- the problem, Judge,
20 is that --

21 THE COURT: No, no, hold on. Mr. Morgan, hold on.
22 I'm going to listen to the government. Then I'm going to give
23 all the defense attorneys a chance to talk, too, so just hold
24 on. Go ahead.

25 MR. GORGON: So without having Mr. Antone here, I

1 won't say that I know definitively that Mr. Antone has the
2 capacity to create additional copies, but that's one possible
3 solution, so I don't know the answer. It's an unknown.

4 THE COURT: I think you had said in our conference on
5 a prior occasion that you had gone through two out of the nine
6 cameras at that time? Is that right?

7 MR. GORGON: Yes, your Honor, at the first one.

8 THE COURT: So does this mean you've now gone through
9 six out of the nine?

10 MR. GORGON: Four.

11 THE COURT: Four?

12 MR. GORGON: Um-hmm, and so production one covered
13 camera eight and camera three. Production two covers camera
14 one and camera five.

15 THE COURT: Is the next one August 14? Is that
16 right?

17 MR. GORGON: August 14, 2018.

18 THE COURT: And that's going to cover all the rest?

19 MR. GORGON: I don't know.

20 THE COURT: You're going to do the best you can?

21 MR. GORGON: Yeah, absolutely, absolutely and one
22 thing that's changed is I think the initial posture of defense
23 counsel was to give as many useful events as possible, but
24 subsequent statements from them now are that they want us to
25 narrow down the number of events that we're providing so I

1 talked to the agents and instructed them and if there are
2 marginal events, to exclude them.

3 THE COURT: Marginal in the sense of marginally
4 inculpatory?

5 MR. GORGON: Yeah, like maybe this is showing
6 something, maybe it's not, let's err on the side of inclusion
7 and now I'll ask them based on the defendants' request to err
8 on the side of exclusion.

9 THE COURT: Have you identified any exculpatory
10 material?

11 MR. GORGON: Not to my knowledge. I have to say I
12 don't even know what an exculpatory event in something like
13 this would look like, but to my knowledge and reading of the
14 production list, there's nothing that, based on the
15 description, is exculpatory.

16 THE COURT: There was a request made in the joint
17 statement by the defendants to have identities of persons
18 disclosed and room numbers to the extent possible. I think the
19 government was going to endeavor to do that; is that right?

20 MR. GORGON: Yes, your Honor. So, the production
21 list where it's possible to identify a given individual by
22 their appearance, it will indicate in the list, for example Joe
23 Smith seen outside of room 108 at this point in time and the
24 one overlay, your Honor, is that the diagram that the
25 government provided which shows camera, for example camera one

1 looks down this hallway, camera five looks down this hallway,
2 will provide a physical point of orientation for everything
3 that's shown on a given camera. I don't know if I provided
4 that to the Court, but do you mind if I pass one up so --

5 THE COURT: That's fine.

6 MR. GORGON: -- you have an idea?

7 THE COURT: All right. What did you want to point
8 out to me?

9 MR. GORGON: So just for example, your Honor, on the
10 front page where it says attachment A, there's a number of
11 cameras that are pointed out so camera one, do you see that,
12 with an arrow pointing in the direction?

13 THE COURT: I do.

14 MR. GORGON: And there are various other camera
15 numbers, that -- and there's some cameras on the back as well
16 which is the other floor, in conjunction with a given list will
17 allow defense counsel to know everything that's on camera one,
18 on the list from camera one is from the perspective that it's
19 shown on that list, so it's helpful. It orients them in place
20 and then the list orients them in time and provides the best
21 good-faith description that the agents working at this volume
22 and pace can provide. So we're doing I think a pretty fair
23 effort to help them.

24 THE COURT: So are you envisioning then the
25 government completing its production of discovery then by

1 August 14 or thereabouts?

2 MR. GORGON: I don't know if the next list will be
3 all-inclusive. I hope so, but I wouldn't bet that we're going
4 to finish all the remaining cameras based on the pace of review
5 up to this point because each one of those cameras that's left
6 is two months of video that have to be reviewed and there are
7 multiple agents working on it, so they're trying to do an
8 efficient, but useful job of reviewing that. So I, I think
9 it's too optimistic to think that we would finish all the
10 remaining cameras by August 14th.

11 THE COURT: Do you have even a ballpark idea? Just
12 trying to understand when we might be able to set a motion
13 cut-off and try to at least sketch out when we might be seeing
14 motions.

15 MR. GORGON: November. That -- two more productions.
16 If in August we've made a really good progress and I think we
17 can guarantee we'll be done with these production lists by
18 November, but I think that's probably about right.

19 THE COURT: In November you think we could set a
20 motion cut-off? Approximately?

21 MR. GORGON: Yes, sir and with the new instruction
22 from defense counsel that they want us to provide fewer
23 inculpatory events, that will help.

24 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else you
25 want to tell me?

1 MR. GORGON: No, your Honor. Unless the Court had a
2 question, I'm -- I'm happy with what I've said.

3 THE COURT: All right. We're going to go around the
4 room and see if any of the defense attorneys want to say
5 anything, so let's start with Mr. Magidson.

6 MR. MAGIDSON: The only thing I know from my client,
7 his primary concern is the length of time --

8 THE COURT: Got to keep your voice up so we all can
9 hear you.

10 MR. MAGIDSON: So from Mr. Nero's perspective, he's
11 been detained quite some time and we're talking still a long
12 time and that's his number one concern. I've heard
13 Mr. Friedman in terms of the report and so forth, but I just
14 wanted to convey his concern due to the time that he's been
15 detained. He's in a jail situation. Jails have limited
16 facilities and they're not designed for long-term detention and
17 that's been a concern of Mr. Nero's.

18 THE COURT: All right. Well, do you have any
19 specific recommendations you'd like to offer? I certainly want
20 to move this case as expeditiously as possible so if there's
21 anything concrete you want to recommend, I'm all ears.

22 MR. MAGIDSON: So I agree with Mr. Gorgon in terms of
23 at one point we were thinking about looking at everything to
24 see, find exculpatory conduct and I wouldn't know what that
25 looks like frankly other than if my client were, I don't know,

1 swimming in a swimming pool? I don't know what it would look
2 like so I think we can go off this list and avoid a lot of
3 extra time.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Let's go right down the line.

5 MR. VENDITTELLI: I have nothing, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Early?

7 MR. EARLY: Your Honor, the only thing I would say is
8 that apparently these sticks that we have all these hours of
9 video on can be duplicated by a laboratory back east. It's
10 very expensive however, so it would be up to the Court and
11 Mr. Ranz as to whether or not you want to approve this. It
12 would speed up the time, however there would be some delay
13 because it takes this laboratory apparently some time to make
14 the duplicate.

15 THE COURT: Have you discussed this with Mr. Antone?

16 MR. EARLY: Mr. Antone has mentioned it to me and I
17 don't remember the exact price. I have discussed it with him,
18 but I don't remember the exact price that he quoted for the
19 stick for a duplicate. I think that could be determined rather
20 quickly by someone tomorrow, but that was the hold-up, the
21 finances. That was one part.

22 THE COURT: All right. Well, I can certainly make an
23 inquiry of Mr. Ranz at the Sixth Circuit to have him get in
24 touch with Mr. Antone to see whether it would make sufficient
25 economic sense to order some more sets of materials based on

1 the timing and the expense that would be approved at the Sixth
2 Circuit level, so I can undertake to do that. All right?
3 Mr. Friedman?

4 MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, thank you, Judge. The government
5 mentioned trouble identifying possible exculpatory information
6 and let me pose a hypothetical. For example and I believe
7 there is material to this effect in what I have viewed already,
8 I can't cite chapter and verse. Someone comes in, confronts
9 one of the defendants and says give me 20 bucks so I can get
10 some drugs and they are refused. I would think that classifies
11 as potentially exculpatory information and I think in the
12 government's review of this information, they ought to be able
13 to point that out to us.

14 In addition on page six of the joint statement, the
15 government request that as far as the list that it's providing
16 us is concerned, that the defense agrees not to use the list in
17 any way against any potential government witness and I think
18 frankly that is impeding our right of cross-examination. We
19 understand that this is a draft list. We understand it's not
20 all inclusive either as far as inculpatory or potentially
21 exculpatory material is concerned, but if there's a gross
22 oversight of something and a witness is on the stand talking
23 about the list, we should at least be able to get into that
24 with the individual.

25 The only other point that I want to make is with

1 respect to the time. The government said with each video clip
2 if it lists a specific time, that the review should be maybe a
3 couple minutes before and I'm not sure if they said before and
4 after. We have been trying to confine ourselves to
5 approximately five minutes before, five minutes after. In my
6 review already I found it useful to certainly go beyond two
7 minutes after a specific event and I think those are the only
8 points I wanted to bring to the Court's attention.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. ELDER: Your Honor, I have nothing to add.

11 MR. BURGESS: I don't as well, your Honor. I don't
12 have anything to add.

13 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Morgan?

14 MR. MORGAN: Yes, your Honor. Mr. Friedman suggested
15 that we're doing five minutes before and five minutes. I
16 thought we 10 minutes be -- I've been operating 10 minutes
17 before and 10 minutes after and in the statement he also
18 suggested that if we were going to do a one to three. I didn't
19 think that's something that we should have necessarily agreed
20 to, but it was put in there, but assuming that the last
21 installment we got, that's 380 hours, Judge. If we do one to
22 three, we're still doing 127 hours worth of research and it's
23 still rolling, I'm concerned, there was some talk at our
24 meeting about a motion. Judge, the government has made this
25 case almost impossible to defend in terms of the information

1 that they've given us. It's not often that defense attorneys
2 complain about discovery, but this case has made it so hard if
3 we do what we've done on other cases, we'd be lucky to try this
4 case in September.

5 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm trying to
6 understand everybody's position. I assume the government
7 doesn't care how much time before and after an event it
8 includes in the materials. Am I right about that? Whether
9 it's one minute, three minutes, 10 minutes? The government
10 doesn't care, right?

11 MR. GORGON: No, your Honor. The way it is, is the
12 list just has an event November 5th, 1:30. It doesn't say
13 whether they can -- they can review the entire video.
14 That's --

15 THE COURT: Are they getting the entire video then?

16 MR. GORGON: Oh, they've he'd all of that.

17 MR. MORGAN: Absolutely.

18 MR. GORGON: All the list does your Honor is say here
19 are all these points in time to help you look at these events.

20 THE COURT: All right. So it's up to really each
21 individual attorney or that attorney's legal assistant under
22 the direction of the attorney to decide how much before and
23 after the government's pinpointing of an event that defendant's
24 defense team wants to look at. Am I right about that?

25 MR. MORGAN: That's correct, Judge.

1 THE COURT: All right, so Mr. Morgan, I'm not
2 understanding then what exactly is your complaint here?

3 MR. MORGAN: Well, I don't necessarily -- the
4 complaint Judge is that it's so time consuming, they've almost
5 prevented us from providing a defense. We tried to flush this
6 out in a meeting and there was talk of bringing a motion. I
7 don't know if that's going to happen.

8 Right now if we start from where we started from the
9 beginning, we've got 15,000 hours of discovery. They have now
10 narrowed that down to probably 7,000 hours of discovery and
11 that does not include any of the pole-cam, we don't know what
12 they're going to do with that at all and when we start talking
13 about the budget concerns that we have. When we talk, we don't
14 know what -- we and I think I speak for, don't know just how we
15 are to prepare for this thing. Do we take the amount of time
16 that's necessary? Like I said, I thought we were doing 10
17 minutes before and 10 minutes after. That was something that
18 the defense counsels agreed upon, but by the time you get
19 around to setting it up and getting to it, that 10 minutes
20 before and 10 minutes after almost ends up being 30, 30 minutes
21 per event.

22 I'm just, just suggesting to the Court that the
23 amount of hours that are being put in this thing, the
24 government is only using it from their perspective and they
25 have eight or nine agents reviewing this, Judge. Even one of

1 us only have one legal assistant assisting us. That's all I'm
2 saying, Judge.

3 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Morgan, how much time have you
4 or your legal assistant devoted to reviewing the submissions so
5 far?

6 MR. MORGAN: Oh, God, Judge. Probably two or 300
7 hours? My legal assistant, we're on a second budget for him
8 already and now he -- and now he decided to do one for three,
9 for every, for every, for every he -- for every three minutes
10 he does, I'm doing one to cut down the time and we have large,
11 a large amount of discovery 'cause my guy goes back and goes
12 over it, talks about what's going on before and after and we're
13 writing notes as to what we believe is really happening versus
14 what's really happening as opposed to what the government says
15 is happening on it.

16 THE COURT: Well, what if anything would you ask me
17 to do about any of this?

18 MR. MORGAN: Nothing, Judge. I, I guess I was just
19 venting. I apologize.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Well, venting is good every once
21 in awhile, but let's see if you can focus here on what I can do
22 to move this along because as I said before, I want to make
23 sure we get through the case as expeditiously as possible for
24 all concerned. So at this point, it seems like setting a
25 schedule does not seem more than a real stab in the dark here

1 because we really don't know when the discovery production is
2 going to be completed. I suppose the best we could do is set a
3 date for another status report to be prepared and I'll
4 entertain a time frame for that. Would that be some time in
5 September or end of August? Mr. Gorgon, what do you think?

6 MR. GORGON: Your Honor, August 14th will be the date
7 of our third production and I think if there was some status
8 conference after that, but before what we think the final
9 production date is, November 14th, so September? That would be
10 a useful date. Maybe the end of September.

11 THE COURT: Are you talking about an in-person
12 conference?

13 MR. GORGON: I think we can do that one by phone,
14 your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Phone, okay. How do the defense
16 attorneys feel about that. Phone conference sufficient?
17 Anybody have any objection to that? All right, I'm hearing no
18 objection. All right, let's set that. Are you saying early
19 September or end of September?

20 MR. GORGON: I think end of September is better.

21 THE COURT: All right. September 27, 2:00 p.m. Does
22 that work for everybody?

23 MR. EARLY: Judge, I'll be in trial at that time. I
24 start trial September 25th.

25 THE COURT: So that week's no good?

1 MR. EARLY: Yeah. Can we do it the week before?

2 MR. ELDER: I can't do it the week before.

3 THE COURT: How's October 4?

4 MR. GORGON: That date won't work for the government.

5 It's my wife's due date, so.

6 THE COURT: Sounds like you're going to be occupied

7 potentially.

8 MR. GORGON: Potentially, hopefully.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Well, can we try to do it the

10 beginning of September? Is that too early?

11 MR. GORGON: Umm, no.

12 THE COURT: Would that okay or not okay?

13 MR. GORGON: I think it's okay.

14 MR. MORGAN: The second wage, Judge, of September?

15 THE COURT: I'm going to look at a date right now.

16 How is September 5?

17 MR. GORGON: That's good for the government.

18 THE COURT: 2:00. Is that a problem for any defense

19 attorney? Okay, so that's going to be our date for a phone

20 conference, September 5 at 2:00 p.m.

21 MR. GORGON: And your Honor, I'll provide the numbers

22 as I've provided in the past. I'll e-mail everybody a calendar

23 invite and make sure that's set, if the Court's okay with that.

24 THE COURT: That's fine.

25 MR. EARLY: Judge, I have a question for the

1 government, just want a clarification.

2 THE COURT: Go ahead.

3 MR. EARLY: Regarding the room numbers. Are you
4 adding that to the new lists that are coming out, the next list
5 or did you add it to the May 14th list?

6 MR. GORGON: I think where possible to identify, the
7 room numbers are on there.

8 MR. EARLY: On May 14th?

9 MR. GORGON: Yes and then the camera diagram points,
10 shows you the direction the camera's looking so you can see the
11 room numbers right where it would be, so it should be --

12 MR. EARLY: Are you going do do anything with respect
13 to the original production and room numbers, the one that you
14 produced on --

15 MR. GORGON: February 12th?

16 MR. EARLY: The February 1st one.

17 MR. GORGON: That has the same level of information,
18 like where possible it says room numbers.

19 MR. EARLY: Are you going come out with room numbers
20 for that because it did not have room numbers on it, the first
21 one.

22 MR. GORGON: I think where ever an agent could
23 identify the room numbers, at that point of review they
24 provided it.

25 MR. EARLY: I don't think any were provided in the

1 first one.

2 MR. GORGON: Yeah, it may not be able to.

3 MR. EARLY: To do that?

4 MR. GORGON: Yeah.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Friedman?

6 MR. FRIEDMAN: Yeah, one additional request. If
7 possible on these clips, if a particular defendant or
8 defendants are present, if that could be indicated when the
9 list is provided, it would be very helpful.

10 MR. GORGON: Your Honor, the list already shows where
11 possible with that level of review and that pace of review when
12 a defendant or suspected defendant's identified.

13 MR. MORGAN: Well, I have one, Judge, for the
14 government. Mr. Gorgon had said he was going to put these
15 installments in PDF form and he didn't the last ones we got.

16 MR. GORGON: I am sorry, I didn't hear that.

17 THE COURT: He said you were going to put it in PDF
18 form.

19 MR. MORGAN: You said you were going to put it in PDF
20 form?

21 MR. GORGON: It's in PDF.

22 MR. MORGAN: Well, I guess it must be a, other way
23 then. I'm -- we talked about a different way than the way he
24 did it I thought.

25 MR. GORGON: I provided them both in the same

1 consistent PDF fashion.

2 MR. MORGAN: I'll call you, Jerome.

3 MR. GORGON: Okay, sir.

4 THE COURT: All right. I just want to circle back to
5 a couple things we've covered, but I don't think we completely
6 finalized. The proposed protective order, when do you expect
7 I'll see that?

8 MR. GORGON: By tomorrow. By close of business
9 tomorrow.

10 THE COURT: Okay and the issue of the table of
11 context or the index, do you want to have further discussion
12 about that or do I need to make a ruling about this?

13 MR. GORGON: I don't even know what the table of
14 contents, what the proposed table of contents would contain and
15 I don't know how -- I'll talk to them more about it, Judge,
16 because I know it's easier for us to work out discovery stuff,
17 to not burden the Court with something we can work out so I'll
18 talk to them, but I don't, I don't understand what that would
19 look like, what kind of terms it would contain or --

20 THE COURT: All right.

21 MR. GORGON: -- why that would be the government's
22 responsibility to create that kind of work product for them.

23 THE COURT: Well, here's what I'd like you to do.
24 I'd like you to continue talking about it and then if you have
25 reached an agreement, that's fine. If you have not, then just

1 send me a one-page letter where each side lays out its position
2 and I'll decide the issue for you.

3 MR. GORGON: Yes, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Now we do have a speedy trial issue to
5 address because I believe our clock goes through July 30, 2018
6 and so it looks like it's pretty clear we're not going to meet
7 that deadline. Have the attorneys spoken to their clients
8 about waiving their rights regarding speedy trial and before
9 you answer that question, I guess we should decide what, if
10 we're going to waive, through what date are we going to waive.
11 Mr. Gorgon, do you have a view on that?

12 MR. GORGON: I think that November date is a smart
13 date at this point because it would be when we expect to be
14 done with the production. It will be after we've had the next
15 phone conference and the Court may be in a position at the next
16 phone conference to set an in-person status conference for
17 November that same time.

18 THE COURT: Okay. November what then is the exact
19 date?

20 MR. GORGON: The exact date's November 14th, 2018.

21 THE COURT: Okay, all right. So do the attorneys
22 need to speak with their clients now about this issue and if
23 so, this would be your opportunity to do that and then I'm
24 going to have the attorneys ask their individual clients
25 questions on the record regarding waiver of their speedy trial

1 dates, so you'll have a moment now to have your discussions.

2 Go ahead

3 (Pause)

4 MR. EARLY: Judge, Mr. Moore has said is there any
5 way he can talk to Mr. Morgan about this issue in private?

6 THE COURT: I don't know how he can do that without
7 everybody hearing it.

8 MR. EARLY: I think he wants to do it without
9 everybody hearing it.

10 THE COURT: All right. Well, we're going to have to
11 bring Mr. Ford back I know so --

12 MR. EARLY: Oh, Mr. Avery, your Honor. I'm sorry.

13 THE COURT: Right. It's Mr. Avery wants to talk to
14 Mr. Morgan.

15 MR. EARLY: He wants to talk to Mr. Morgan.

16 THE COURT: I understand, but I'm pointing out we're
17 going to have to bring back Mr. Ford because Mr. Evans isn't
18 here so I think we're going to have to cover, if --

19 MR. MORGAN: Judge, as to my client, I'll go see
20 him and have him sign a separate stip in the next 10 days.

21 MR. GORGON: Your Honor, I could just do a stip and
22 order. I'll talk to Mr. Morgan once he's had a chance to talk
23 to Mr. Avery and I'll talk to Mr. Evans after he's had a chance
24 to talk to Mr. Ford and then I'll get that stip in and I'll
25 make sure I follow-up with both --

1 THE COURT: Well, I'd like to have it on the record
2 so I want to have the defendants on the record addressing the
3 issue of speedy trial so we're going to have to bring back Mr.
4 Ford. I guess we're going to have to bring back Mr. Avery if
5 he's to speak with Mr. Morgan. All right, I see that -- well,
6 no, we're not done.

7 (Pause)

8 THE COURT: All right. I believe the attorneys have
9 finished their conversations with their clients so we'll start
10 around the table, so Mr. Magidson?

11 MR. MAGIDSON: Yes, your Honor. I did have a chance
12 to speak with Mr. Nero and explain to him what's going on and I
13 need to report to the Court that he's not inclined to waive his
14 speedy trial rights. I explained to him the situation, but he
15 reminded me he has been detained awhile and I think I raised
16 that before. It's a primary thing on his mind so for what it's
17 worth, that's his position.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. MAGIDSON: And I understand his position, but I
20 leave it to the Court.

21 THE COURT: All right. Counsel?

22 MR. VENDITTELLI: Nicholas Vendittelli on behalf of
23 Mr. Randol. Mr. Randol, do you agree to extend your right to
24 speedy trial deadline beyond July?

25 DEFENDANT RANDOL: No, sir.

1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Early?

2 MR. EARLY: Yes. Mr. Pruitt has agreed to extend his
3 speedy trial guideline, your Honor. Is that correct, Mr.
4 Pruitt?

5 DEFENDANT PRUITT: Yes, sir.

6 MR. EARLY: Okay. You understand now that the speedy
7 trial deadline expires, I believe it's in July of this year?

8 DEFENDANT PRUITT: Yes, sir.

9 MR. EARLY: And we signed a stipulation to that
10 effect previously?

11 DEFENDANT PRUITT: Yes, sir.

12 MR. EARLY: Okay and you're agreeing to extend it out
13 now until what date is it?

14 THE COURT: November 14.

15 MR. EARLY: November 14, 2018?

16 DEFENDANT PRUITT: Yes, sir.

17 MR. EARLY: And your Honor, if I could take this
18 opportunity now, Mr. Pruitt as well as Mr. Nero informed me
19 just a couple minutes ago that they cannot really view these
20 videos in any rapid manner whatsoever because of the conditions
21 imposed at the Midland jail. Unlike Sanilac where they give
22 people apparently all day to look at videos in various rooms or
23 in a room there, at Midland the opportunities to view the video
24 are very limited. Sometimes maybe they only get an hour a
25 week.

1 DEFENDANT PRUITT: It's three times a week, but if
2 just say they have a lot of intakes in, you know, they clear
3 them, if we missed, we have one, if we miss one setting, we --

4 DEFENDANT NERO: We miss the first setting, we're not
5 allowed to see another --

6 THE COURT: Just a minute. We can only have one
7 person talking at a time.

8 MR. EARLY: If they could explain it -- if you could
9 explain it, Mr. Nero, to the judge?

10 DEFENDANT NERO: Mr. Nero speaking. This is the
11 situation what I discovered. We have to sign up 24 hours ahead
12 of time. Only if it's possible room in intake at that time the
13 following morning prior to the 24 hours that we sign up, that's
14 the only time that we can get in there to start our day. If we
15 miss the first initial setting of our discovery, we can no
16 longer for the rest of the day have access to it as well. Then
17 they only allow us five days a week, you understand what I'm
18 saying?

19 Also the other situation with this problem is that
20 they only give us the amount of time that's allotted with the
21 battery charge that's on our laptop. Once that time runs out,
22 that's, our discovery is done.

23 THE COURT: How long is the battery charge?

24 DEFENDANT NERO: Umm, I was just down there recently
25 maybe four days ago and I believe it's got about two and-a-half

1 hour, two and-a-half hours on it.

2 THE COURT: So the maximum time per day is two
3 and-a-half hours?

4 DEFENDANT NERO: No, the maximum time day is to --
5 see, we have a three-period lockdown. We're locked down after
6 breakfast so we can start our discovery after eight if it's
7 allotted time. So from eight to 10:30, then we would do lunch
8 so when we come off lockdown at lunch it be 1:30, maybe 2:00
9 sometimes, you know what I mean, umm, and then it goes from
10 2:00 to say 3:30, 3:45. Then we go in, we eat lunch -- I mean
11 we eat dinner. We come off dinner break at six, 6:30 -- no, we
12 come off dinner break at 6:30, sometimes seven and then we can
13 go down there to maybe like 9:00. So we only making, we only
14 getting a progression of maybe two and-a-half, possibly three
15 hours sometimes and three hours would be stretching it.

16 MR. EARLY: And it's one person because they are
17 separatees, your Honor, so when he's talking about viewing,
18 he's talking about one person. The other person can't view in
19 the jail because he's viewing.

20 MR. MAGIDSON: The problem was -- this is Mark
21 Magidson. The problem was is that the device that Mr. Nero had
22 that I purchased for him broke. I got -- I went up there and
23 got that back, sent it to Mr. Antone, said that it's burned
24 out, something happened, he doesn't know, it can't be repaired
25 and so he was using Mr. Pruitt's device where or he tried to --

1 DEFENDANT NERO: No, tried to. They wouldn't allow
2 it.

3 MR. MAGIDSON: -- but because there's a separation
4 order that the government has, they're not allowed to, umm --

5 MR. EARLY: Share.

6 MR. MAGIDSON: -- share so I think if the government
7 would rescind that order because they're not antagonistic to
8 one another and so forth, they're still at the same facility,
9 that would facilitate these defendants at least at Midland for
10 being able to view their discovery. So I think what, part of
11 the impediment is a separation order that the government has
12 imposed so if that could be rescinded, at least then these two
13 gentlemen who are in Midland would double up on their ability
14 to view the discovery.

15 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask a question or two
16 here. Mr. Early, is this two hours per session in the morning,
17 the afternoon and evening for a total of about six? Is that
18 right?

19 DEFENDANT NERO: Yes, sir.

20 DEFENDANT PRUITT: May I reiterate, your Honor?

21 MR. EARLY: May Mr. Pruitt speak, your Honor?

22 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

23 DEFENDANT PRUITT: Like Mr. Nero said, once you miss
24 that initial two hours, they're done for the rest of the day
25 and like you say, my laptop is when you use it and just say the

1 battery may say two hours, but it don't last that long. It may
2 last an hour and-a-half. It may say two hours on the screen,
3 but it, the juice actually runs out way quicker. We constantly
4 moving the videos, you know, we jumping from screen to screen
5 so the more you, the more you use it, the quicker the battery
6 leaves out of it. The quicker the juice leaves.

7 THE COURT: All right. Let me address these issues
8 separately. Let's stay focused right now on the speedy trial
9 issue and then we'll come back to this other issue.

10 MR. EARLY: I just was informed that they cannot
11 charge the battery, they have to allow the law enforcement
12 people there to charge the battery so that's another problem.

13 THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Early, your client is
14 agreeable to waiving his speedy trial rights? Is that right?

15 MR. EARLY: I believe he has, your Honor. That is
16 correct.

17 THE COURT: Okay, that's Mr. Pruitt and now
18 Mr. Friedman?

19 MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, your Honor. I've discussed this
20 with my client and as she has done previously, she is willing
21 to waive her speedy trial rights. Is that correct, Ms. Gaggo?

22 DEFENDANT GAGGO: Correct.

23 THE COURT: All right and you understand that would
24 be through November 14, 2018?

25 DEFENDANT GAGGO: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: All right, who else? Mr. Elder?

2 MR. ELDER: Your Honor, I've discussed with Mr. Yako
3 his right to a speedy trial, your Honor. He understands that
4 now the new date would be November 14th. Your Honor, as we've
5 done before, he's waived his right to speedy trial to that
6 date.

7 THE COURT: All right. Do you want -- I'm sorry, I
8 didn't hear a question and answer. Go ahead.

9 MR. ELDER: Is that correct, sir?

10 THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 MR. ELDER: Thank you, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Burgess?

14 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, your Honor. I've spoken to
15 my client regarding his right to speedy trial in this matter.
16 He is waiving his speedy trial rights through November 14th of
17 2018. Is that correct, Mr. Gabrail?

18 DEFENDANT GABRAIL: Yes.

19 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's return to this
21 issue then about difficulties some defendants are having
22 reviewing materials. Mr. Gorgon, do you have any suggestions
23 you'd want to make at this point?

24 MR. GORGON: No.

25 THE COURT: That's probably not the most helpful

1 thing you've said about this case.

2 MR. GORGON: I don't know about the, the workings of
3 a given defendant's schedule in one of those facilities. The
4 BOP or whoever's making those decisions, they're a separate
5 entity. I don't have any influence over what they're doing and
6 what their procedures are for reviewing videos. I don't think
7 I'm even in a position to be able to help to change those
8 protocols --

9 MR. MAGIDSON: Well, Judge --

10 THE COURT: Just a minute. Let's not interrupt. Go
11 ahead, Mr. Gorgon.

12 MR. GORGON: So I don't think the U.S. attorney's
13 office is in a position to change the protocols at those
14 detention facilities. The second thing is I'm happy to discuss
15 the rationale for the separation orders off the record or at
16 side Bar, but Mr. Magidson's statements don't impact the
17 government's rationale for requesting those separation orders.

18 THE COURT: Okay. You're done?

19 MR. GORGON: Yes, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Magidson?

21 MR. MAGIDSON: I think he just answered it. I was
22 going to request that the government voluntarily release or
23 remove those separation orders. I think we've heard that they
24 have reasons that they're not willing to disclose presently or
25 at least in open court. It may be that I need to talk to the

1 government or file a motion.

2 THE COURT: Okay. All right, well, here's what I'm
3 going to do. As far as difficulties the defendants may be
4 having, if any attorney wants to, he may send me a letter that
5 details the exact nature of the problems and I will see to what
6 extent the jails can make accommodations or whatever changes to
7 protocol that might be possible consistent with security needs
8 with a goal toward accelerating review of the materials by the
9 defendants. So Mr. Early, if you want to send me a letter, if
10 any other defense counsel has a client who's having difficulty
11 reviewing materials, send me a letter and I will see what can
12 be done to address those issues. Please send a copy to the
13 attorney for the government.

14 MR. EARLY: Yes, your Honor. Mr. Magidson and I will
15 confer on that.

16 THE COURT: All right. I would also suggest in my
17 experience, jails are often willing to be helpful in solving
18 problems so you may want to contact the authorities there first
19 and point out the difficulties your clients are having, see if
20 they can come up with a solution even before you approach me
21 because I think it would be helpful to see if they can come up
22 with something without having to involve the Court. All right,
23 is there anything else that we need to address at this point?

24 MR. GORGON: Just the Court's finding on the speedy
25 trial issue for Mr. Nero and Mr. Randol.

1 THE COURT: Well, I think it might be appropriate for
2 the government to file an appropriate motion regarding speedy
3 trial because we do have some defendants who haven't waived and
4 in fact we have some defendants who've expressly said that they
5 are not going to waive, so is that something you can get on
6 file in the not-too-distant future?

7 MR. GORGON: Absolutely.

8 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you do that and of
9 course all defendants will be able to respond to it and then I
10 can make a ruling on what gets submitted.

11 MR. GORGON: Your Honor, should I limit that motion
12 to the two defendants and do a separate stipulation for the
13 other defendants or just put it all on one?

14 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to be issuing an order to
15 Mr. Evans and also to Mr. Morgan to have them appear with their
16 clients so we're going to take up the issue of speedy trial and
17 the failure to be here in person and the consequences for that.
18 So if those defendants end up waiving their speedy trial
19 rights, they are not going to be really impacted by your motion
20 so I think you can prepare your motion at this point because
21 you know that there are at least two who have not said they are
22 waiving their speedy trial rights and there might be two more.

23 MR. GORGON: Understood.

24 THE COURT: But as long as there's one who is not
25 waiving, then I think we need to have a government motion and a

1 ruling by the Court on it.

2 MR. GORGON: Understood. Thank you, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: All right. Anything further then from
4 the defense side? All right, then that concludes our hearing.
5 Thank you.

6 (Hearing concluded at 4:08 p.m.)

7 -- --- --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 C E R T I F I C A T E

2

3

4

5

6

7 I, David B. Yarbrough, Official Court
8 Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages
9 comprise a true and accurate transcript of the
10 proceedings taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, May
11 23rd, 2018.

12

13

14

15

16

10/17/2018

/s/ David B. Yarbrough

17

Date

David B. Yarbrough,
(CSR, RPR, FCRR, RMR)
231 W. Lafayette Blvd.
Detroit, MI 48226

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25